

PO Box 1337 Waverley Gardens VIC 3170 estates@bnrpartners.com.au 32/830 Princes Highway Springvale VIC 3171 
 Melbourne
 03 9781 6800

 Adelaide
 08 7221 9750

 Brisbane
 07 2101 5390

 Perth
 08 6323 5480

 Sydney
 02 9131 4270

# Response to TPSG consultation paper Part 1 (Administrative Issues)

Key contacts:

Irene Liew, Tax Manager Mark Morris, Senior Tax Counsel Ian Raspin, Managing Director



# **Response to TPSG consultation paper – Part 1**

## Administrative issues

At the outset we observe that system delays are counter-productive to practitioners and the ATO.

Whilst delays are sometimes unavoidable, a lack of communication in keeping people informed about how the ATO is handling a matter (timeframes, any delays etc.) is a frequent concern.

There is also a concern, which goes beyond estate taxation, that the ATO is not using all the information available to it in processing returns – notes are not necessarily considered, and some information is not captured in labels and remains 'unread'.

To the extent that the 'system' cannot deal with something appropriately, and a fix cannot be made in a timely way, there needs to be an effective and efficient 'work-around'. Such work-arounds need to be available for all who need it, not just some – there should be a level playing field.

In a digital world, the acceptance by the ATO of only paper documents is frustrating and can lead to unnecessary and potentially costly delays.

More broadly, ATO administrative practices should facilitate and encourage, not hinder, speedier finalisation of estates and payments of taxed owed to the ATO. This includes making is easier not harder to obtain information necessary for executors and administrators to finalise estates, and providing timely private rulings and advice where requested. Commonly encountered technical issues should be addressed by web materials or public rulings.

While the need to ensure integrity in relation to information access can readily be recognised, examples set out below demonstrate that this is not the major driver behind restrictive practices.

#### Accessing tax information of the deceased

A prudent executor will want to ensure that the deceased's outstanding tax obligations have been met. Currently information from 2009 is available through the OSfA. But if an LPR wants earlier information they can get information back to 2001 via a freedom of information request. This can be a very time-consuming process. Is there some way that this additional information can be made available through the OSfA?

Perhaps now might be an appropriate time to revisit PCG 2018/4 – for example would the ATO countenance a general approach to say that it will not devote compliance resources to issuing assessments (including amended assessments) for a period more than 5 years before the deceased's death?

While tax agents no longer resort to the Data Pack (now that they can again access information via the OSfA), we observe that it is still something that LPRs acting on their own account will find useful. However, the Data Pack only provides information for the last three years. This may lead LPRs to believe that that ATO is only concerned about raising assessments/amended assessments for those years. If this is not the case, then the data pack should come with a caveat.

Alternatively, it should include all relevant information such as:

- Copy of most recently lodged income tax return, or Return Not Necessary (RNN) notification
- Copy of most recent Notice of Assessment (NoA)
- Copy of most recent Statement of Account (SoA) including integrated client account, income tax account and any suspended legal recovery account)
- Details of all outstanding income tax returns and Business Activity Statements and copies of all ATO pre-filling reports that relate to all the years of the outstanding returns.
- Details of any single touch payroll report lodged in respect of the deceased
- Details of any outstanding HELP debt owed by the deceased as at the date of death
- Information on the deceased's superannuation accounts, and
- Notification of any outstanding dispute, objection or audit concerning the deceased.

#### **TFN** application

The current ATO practice is to require a non-resident LPR to complete and send in a hard copy to the ATO rather than accept lodgement through the Online Service for Agents (OSfA). This can result in processing delays.

#### **Archived TFNs**

In many instances the deceased's TFN has been suppressed on the basis that they have not been required to lodge income tax returns for a number of years before their death. In order of an LPR to get an understanding of the deceased's tax history, an agent must make a request for the suppression to be removed. This is not something that can be done via the OSfA.

Our experience is that it will take at least a month to process the request and involve us making numerous follow up requests of the ATO. Finally, there is no process by which the ATO notifies the agent that the suppression has been removed, perhaps this could be built into the system or ATO practice.

## Early returns

There is an issue regarding the electronic lodgment of tax returns prior to the end of an income year, for example for a taxpayer who died part way through the year. All such 'early' returns must be prepared in paper format as the ATO has advised that it is up to the Digital Service Providers (DSPs) to provide such a service. We are aware that many LPRs delay the distribution of an estate because to avoid this problem. It appears that in some instances the ATO will process a PDF version of an earlier year form that has been downloaded and completed. If the ATO accepts this alternative lodgment approach; then perhaps it should disseminate that information more broadly.

#### Lodgment program issues

Tax agents have many elderly LPR clients who previously signed and lodged income tax returns by paper. Tax agents are still trying to identify the ones that cannot sign electronically because of lack of IT or email access. This can make the lodgment process protracted which has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 emergency. Some recognition to the challenges of getting such returns signed and lodged should be built into the agent's lodgment program. Perhaps consideration could also be given to alternative ways in which the client could be regarded as authorising the return's lodgment'

#### **Current Online service issue**

There appears to be a glitch/problem in the ATO OSfA affecting some newly added deceased Individuals. The Deceased can be added into a tax agent list and the agent can access all tax records, only to find a few days later that the Deceased is no longer a client or the agent no longer has permission to access the client's information. The OSfA will sometimes fix the issue itself in day or two. On other occasions, the agent will have to add the client back into their TAN again (and this process might be repeated multiple times).

## ATO not reading return notes – this is an issue that is not limited to deceased estates.

Often agents will use the Other Attachment facility to attach a note to explain why a return has been prepared in a particular way. For example, it might explain why the return data is different from the pre-fill and what income is subject to tax by withholding rather than assessment.

However, in our experience the advice in the Other Attachment schedule is often ignored with no note to explain why. Agents must then expend resources following this up for their client. In our experience, it will generally take between half to one hour simply to find the right person to speak to and 28 days to process an amendment that would not have been necessary had the note been read.

Perhaps the ATO might set up a process whereby returns with notes relating to the amount of an assessment are diverted for consideration and the agent contacted if the ATO disagrees with the approach that the agent has adopted.

## Death benefit tax withheld

Sometimes superannuation trustees withhold tax from death benefit payments in error. There is a problem with using the general trust tax return for deceased estates where tax has been withheld from a death benefit payment. There is no label (at Item 13) in the trust return where this amount can be shown as a credit and it is not picked up by the ATO systems by some other mechanism. This means that an objection/amendment must be lodged in all of these cases to ensure that a credit is obtained

## Non-resident CGT withholding receipt

It appears that the ATO practice is to require receipt details where a credit is being sought for nonresident CGT withholding has been deducted. While that is understandable, short of a data field being created, it would be helpful if the tax return instructions explained that the receipt number should be added to the 'Other Attachments' schedule. As discussed above, each time a return deviates from the standard processing route, there is extra expense and delay for taxpayers and agents as well as for the ATO.

## **ABN/GST** registration

This is another area where there is processing inconsistency. An LPR who has taken on the running of the deceased's business has to login and approve the ABN registration before the ATO will accept the application. This is not something that an agent can do on their behalf. For those LPRs who struggle with digital delivery this can cause delays. Compare the TFN applications where an agent can complete an application on behalf of an LPR.

#### PBR delays and court processes

Since Covid, we have experienced significant delays in the processing of private ruling applications. Previously, we were kept informed of the reasons for delays, but it seems that applications are now simply not being allocated so that there is nobody responsible for providing an update.

We understand that resources have had to be diverted to deal with Covid but at the same time the legal world moves on; LPRs are still under an obligation to finalise estates in a timely manner (particularly where beneficiaries have been impacted by the economic slump and are looking for distributions) and Court processes are awaiting a view of the law from the ATO.

We have used ATO complaint processes and those for early engagement to prioritise some of these rulings. We do not want to have to resort to complaint processes in every case but finding the right person to speak to in order to have a ruling escalated is time consuming. Even when we do find someone that is willing to help, we are not necessarily provided with contact details and so it is hard to find out what is happening with a request.

#### Inability to access ATO message

There seems to be a problem accessing messages from the ATO. Take the following example, agents will receive an email from the ATO like this

10.0

09/10/2020

Portal email notification new message in inbox



Taxofficeportalmessagenotify@ato.gov.au To ORbert Pizzimento

This is an automated response - do not respond to this email.

You have received a new message in your Tax Agent Portal mailbox. Log into the Tax Agent Portal using TAN 24752052 to view the message.

IMPORTANT The information transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged material. Any review, re-transmission, disclosure, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited and may result in severe penalties. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the Privacy Hotline of the Australian Taxation Office, telephone 1300 661 542 and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments.

On accessing the OSfA to view Practice mail messages Inbox, a message like this appears:

Example:



 An error has occurred
 We are currently experiencing technical difficulties. We apologise for any inconvenience. Try again later.
 If the problem continues visit <u>Troubleshooting for tax practitioners</u> or <u>contact us</u>.
 ATOO-1604972014760

Logout

This can take up to 20-30 phone calls to resolve.